



Performance targets relating to Planning

Stuart Ashworth



Performance targets relating to Planning

- Currently 4 indicators reported to CPP, 2 based on speed and 2 based on quality
- EP3a) – Processing of **major** applications
 - Current national & local target is minimum of 50% determined within 13 weeks or another time agreed with the applicant.
 - Due to rise to 60% in 2018
 - 16/17 performance was 75% within 13 weeks or agreed timescale



Performance targets relating to Planning

- EP3b) – Processing of **non-major** applications
 - Current national and local target is minimum of 65% determined within 8 weeks or other agreed timescale
 - This is due to rise to 70% in 2018
 - 16/17 performance was 78% within 8 weeks or within agreed timescale



Performance targets relating to Planning

- EP3c) - % of decisions on applications for **major** development that have been overturned at appeal, measured against total number of major applications determined
 - Local target is a maximum of 10% major applications overturned at appeal measured against total number of major apps.
 - Current national target is maximum of 20% major applications overturned at appeal measured against total number of major apps.
 - National target due to be reduced to 10% in 2018
 - 16/17 full year performance is 10% but Govt. measures against a two year period every October. The most recent two year performance was 5.8%.



Performance targets relating to Planning

- EP3d) - % of decisions on applications for **non-major** development that have been overturned at appeal, measured against total number of non-major applications determined
 - Local target is a maximum of 10% non-major applications overturned at appeal measured against total number of non-major apps.
 - No current national target for this but due to be 10% in 2018
 - 16/17 full year performance was 1% but Government measures against a two year period every October. The most recent two year performance was also 1%.



Performance targets relating to Planning

- What happens if we don't hit national standards?
 - Could be designated a Standards Authority by Government. Put on an improvement plan.
 - Financial implications, as applicants would have the choice to pay PINS to determine certain types of application. In those circumstances we would have much of the work but none of the fee. Also removes local decision making.



Performance targets relating to Planning

- Three further performance indicators requested by CPP
 - 1. % of applications refused**
 - Measured against total number of decisions made.
 - 16/17 performance was 12%. That is 225 applications refused against a total number of 1863 decisions made
 - National average of decisions refused is around 10%
 - 2. % of refused applications then appealed**
 - 16/17 performance was 28%. That is 63 applications appealed against 225 refusals
 - Not aware of any figures to compare against, as an appeal is a decision of the applicant, not the LPA



Performance targets relating to Planning

3. % of appeals lodged that are overturned

- A quarterly Appeals report is submitted to the Planning Committee, providing performance updates as well as a spreadsheet of all the appeals in the system
- The last report showed that cumulatively in a 12 month period 18% of appeals were allowed. National average used to be around 36% allowed.



- Questions?

