



Cabinet

**Tuesday, 18th June, 2019 at 5.30 pm
in the Council Chamber - Town Hall, Saturday Market
Place, King's Lynn PE30 5DQ**

Reports marked to follow on the Agenda and/or Supplementary Documents

1. **MATTERS REFERRED TO CABINET FROM OTHER BODIES** (Pages 2 - 6)

To receive any comments and recommendations from other Council bodies which meet after the dispatch of this agenda.

Recommendations to Cabinet from the Regeneration and Development Panel meeting 12th March 2019 on RD94: Report of the River Informal Working Group

Contact

Democratic Services
Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk
King's Court
Chapel Street
King's Lynn
Norfolk
PE30 1EX
Tel: 01553 616394
Email: democratic.services@west-norfolk.gov.uk

RECOMMENDATIONS TO CABINET 18 JUNE 2019 FROM THE REGENERATION & DEVELOPMENT PANEL ON 11 JUNE AND ENVIRONMENT & COMMUNITY PANEL ON 12 JUNE 2019

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT PANEL

RD12: EXEMPT – CORN EXCHANGE CINEMA PROPOSALS

Members of the Panel had conducted a tour of the Corn Exchange and the proposed cinema area, prior to the meeting.

The Executive Director presented Members with information on the proposals and the report that would be presented to Cabinet on 18th June.

Councillor Beal addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34 and officers responded to questions relating to the cost of showing a film and the percentage which would be payable to the film provider. Information was also provided on the ticket price and occupancy rates. Councillor Beal referred to other cinemas in the area, including attendances at Hunstanton.

The Executive Director referred to the Consultant's report which had been presented to Cabinet in October 2018 and provided more information on costs, projected profits and a sensitivity test.

Councillor Morley addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34 and commented that the risk and cost was too high and he was suspicious of the data which had been included in the report. He also commented that if there was a demand for a greater cinema offer in King's Lynn, then surely a large multiplex would have already taken advantage of this. Councillor Morley also commented that the space could perhaps be better utilised to accommodate the needs of young people. The Executive Director responded that multiplex cinemas had been interested in coming to King's Lynn, but it was important to encourage people into the town centre and protect the existing offer at the Majestic. The Executive Director explained that the owner of the Majestic had been supportive of the proposals. It was also explained that the idea of the cinema had originally been considered as part of the Guildhall redevelopment, making use of the White Barn. However plans for the Guildhall had now moved on.

Councillor Moriarty addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34. He was concerned that the market could change in the future and there could be less demand for cinemas with films being made available at home earlier. He did agree that it was important to bring life into the town centre, but car parking would need to be considered. The Executive Director acknowledged the concerns, which were mirrored by the industry as a whole.

Councillor Lowe raised concerns about competition between the Corn Exchange and the Majestic. He was also concerned that there were not enough restaurants available in King's Lynn to support the early evening economy. It was explained by officers that programming would be looked at and that the owner of the Majestic had been supportive of the proposals. The Portfolio Holder for Project Delivery, Councillor Gidney, commented that he felt that King's Lynn had a lot to offer, it was important to continue to build on the offer for the future and that there were a lot of restaurants available in the evening. He also commented that an out of town cinema would have a detrimental effect on the Majestic.

Councillor Lowe commented that an out of town cinema would be better as it would be modern and attractive. The Chairman, Councillor Mrs Collingham commented that it was important to attract people to the town centre which would benefit businesses and retailers.

Councillor Bone commented that consideration needed to be given to parking charges as the Lite cinema at Wisbech had free parking. Councillor Bone commented that he felt that the costs had been played down and the rewards exaggerated.

Councillor de Whalley commented that he had concerns over the business plan, but that it was important for King's Lynn to be resilient and plan for the future.

The Vice Chairman, Councillor Parish referred to how the costs would be funded and the payback period. The Executive Director explained that advice had been provided from the Finance Department on the payback period.

In response to a question from Councillor Lowe, the Executive Director explained that alternative uses for the space at the Corn Exchange had been looked at, including Theatre space; however this did not provide a return on investment.

Councillor Patel commented that he thought the proposals were fantastic and would benefit the town centre. He also commented that it was good that the works would incorporate necessary repairs and refurbishment such as the roof and the toilets.

Councillor Pope addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34. In response to a question regarding the roof, the Executive Director commented that it would be fully insulated and meets building regulation requirements. The costs associated with the roof works included removal of the glass roof.

In response to a question from Councillor Bone it was explained that there was a window when there would be no performances within which the works could start. The proposed cinema area could then be isolated and work could continue as required.

The Portfolio Holder for Culture, Heritage and Health thanked the Panel for their comments regarding the proposals. She commented that it was important to continue to improve the offer in King's Lynn and support businesses, retailers and the evening economy. She also referred to the current Corn Exchange subsidy and how the cinema would improve the offer available to residents and tourists.

Councillor Rust addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34. She commented that she did not oppose the proposal but was concerned that the cost of tickets would present a barrier to some people.

Councillor Lowe reiterated the concerns of Councillor Rust. He also commented that technology and industries were constantly changing and asked if there would still be a demand for cinemas in ten years' time.

The Portfolio Holder for Business Development referred to the Future High Streets Fund which was available to bid for and he commented that King's Lynn needed to be made into a destination and the offer increased. He explained that government funding to council's was reducing and Council's needed to look at ways to be commercial and raise income.

The Chairman, Councillor Collingham thanked the Panel for their comments. She commented that she was in support of the proposals but acknowledged there was an element of risk.

RESOLVED: That the Regeneration and Development Panel support the recommendations to Cabinet, as set out below:

1. Agree to proceed with the Corn Exchange cinema proposals and amend the Capital Programme as detailed in the report at 2.4.5.
2. Include options in the tender for ground floor toilets, façade, foyer area as separate cost items.
3. Delegate authority to the Executive Director, Commercial Services in consultation with the Portfolio Holder to proceed with the procurement and construction of the cinema screens and associated works.

RECOMMENDATION FROM ENVIRONMENT AND COMMUNITY PANEL

EC11: Cabinet Report - Food Waste and Garden Waste Treatment Procurement

The Waste and Recycling Manager presented the Cabinet report which outlined the procurement arrangements for the treatment of collected food waste and garden waste. Information was provided on the waste contract, Joint Venture and expected savings.

The Chairman thanked the Waste and Recycling Manager for his report and invited questions and comments from the Panel, as summarised below.

In response to a question from Councillor Joyce, it was explained that it was more economical for treatment sites to be within 5 to 7 miles of King's Lynn.

Councillor Squire referred to the updated report and it was clarified that the three authorities involved in the joint procurement had been referred to. She also made reference to the provision of caddy liners and WRAP funding which Norwich City Council had used to promote food waste collection and had resulted in an increase in the amount collected. The Waste and Recycling Manager explained that the Single Use Plastics Informal Working Group had discussed the use of caddy liners, and this was something that could be included in the future. The Panel also noted that any liner could be used in the food waste caddy as this could be separated when processed.

Councillor Kemp made reference to the Government Waste Strategy and the requirement for all Councils to collect food waste by 2023. She asked if a countywide initiative could be considered and also if there were plans to bring back processing to Norfolk. The Waste and Recycling Manager explained that the previous facility which had been used was more expensive per tonne than using an anaerobic digester outside of the County and it produced CO2 emissions without any energy recovery.

In response to a question from Councillor Bambridge it was explained that approximately 30% of households used the food waste collection service. Those present at the meeting who did not use the service cited the reason as to why including composting, not creating any waste and the unpleasantness of it.

Councillor Parish addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34 and it was explained that the process for maize was different to food waste. The Executive Director explained that any contract would have an annual service improvement plan written into it and this could look at the provision of caddy liners if required.

Councillor Squire referred to the Government Waste Strategy and the requirement for all Councils to provide a food waste collection service. She asked if King's Lynn would be at a financial disadvantage for already having a service, should financial assistance become available for those Councils that would need to introduce the service. The Waste and Recycling Officer explained that the Government had identified that this would be an additional burden on Local Authorities and options would be considered.

Councillor de Whalley referred to a Suffolk Brewery anaerobic digestion facility and it was explained that the access fee for this facility was too high. The Waste and Recycling Manager also explained that there was an over capacity of anaerobic plants so they were chasing tonnage.

Councillor Ryves addressed the Panel under Standing Order 34. He referred to recycling credits and forecasted costs. The Waste and Recycling Manager explained how savings could be achieved through the new contract.

Councillor Bubb referred to commercial food waste collections and it was explained that this was something that would be looked at on a trial basis.

RESOLVED: That the Environment and Community Panel support the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below:

That Cabinet recommends to Council that the Executive Director for Commercial Services is authorised to commence two procurements for the Borough Council's treatment of separately collected food waste and separately collected garden waste and grounds maintenance wastes:

- a) Food Waste treatment (anaerobic digestion) is procured within the existing Joint Venture arrangements.
- b) Garden Waste treatment (composting) is procured in the open market.