

BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK

CABINET DECISION SHEET

**Decision Sheet from the Meeting of the Cabinet held on
Tuesday, 6 September 2011 at 5.30pm in the Committee Suite, King's
Court, Chapel Street, King's Lynn**

PRESENT: Councillor N J Daubney (Chairman)
Councillors, B Long, Mrs E Nockolds, D Pope
and Mrs V Spikings.

Under Standing Order 34, Councillor C Joyce attended for item 8(i)

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors A Beales
and Lord Howard.

1: **MINUTES**

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the Meeting held on 26 July and 4 August 2011 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman, subject to the following amendment to page 225 under general comments, the first sentence be amended to read as follows: "Councillor Beales questioned why the Borough Council had not responded to sites not identified for allocation by Norfolk County Council, and questioned if it would be possible to screen such sites and respond to those that merited it. Sites not allocated but subject to a good deal of public comment and concern could be an example of those other sites worthy of comment.

2: **URGENT BUSINESS**

There was no urgent business.

3: **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillor Mrs V Spikings declared a prejudicial interest in an individual site contained within the Local Development Framework – Site Specific Allocations and Policies Document item.

4: **CHAIRMAN'S CORRESPONDENCE**

None

5: **CALLED IN MATTERS**

None

6: **FORWARD DECISIONS LIST**

The forward decision list was noted

7: **MATTERS REFERRED TO CABINET FROM COUNCIL BODIES**

i) **Cabinet Scrutiny Committee : 23rd August 2011**

The Cabinet Scrutiny Committee made the following recommendations to Cabinet following its consideration of the Cabinet decision on the Town Hall.

CSC27: King's Lynn Town Hall

RECOMMENDATION: 1) That subject to the Stage 1 application to Heritage Lottery Fund being approved, a full and extensive consultation should be carried out with key stakeholders.

2) That the Policy and Review Panels be fully involved in the consultation on the proposals.

3) That subject to the Stage 1 application being approved, a special Council meeting be held to allow all Members to debate the proposals for Stage II.

In considering the recommendations from the Committee, Cabinet accepted recommendations 1 and 2. The Leader explained that the Cabinet did not propose to accept the recommendation that a Special Council be held to debate the proposal for stage II should stage I be accepted, as all Members were entitled to attend the meetings where the proposals were being considered. He also proposed to ask the Chief Executive to offer a pre Council briefing for Councillors setting out the proposals and giving Councillors the opportunity to see the proposals.

RESOLVED: 1) That recommendations 1 and 2 be accepted.

2) That recommendation 3 not be accepted but, in the event that stage I be accepted and progressed to stage II, the Chief Executive be asked to hold a pre Council briefing for all Councillors setting out the proposals.

ii) **Resources And Performance Panel Meeting : 31 August 2011**

The Panel had made the following recommendation to Cabinet, which was dealt with when Cabinet considered the report on the agenda.

RP45: Potential Compulsory Purchase Order: Northwold

RESOLVED: That Cabinet be advised that the Resources and Performance Panel supports the recommendations as set out in the report to Cabinet as follows:

“That Cabinet agrees to the proposal to promote a Compulsory Purchase Order for the acquisition of the land with a view to its subsequent disposal”.

8: **REPORTS**

<p>i) Notice of Motion 2/11 – Opposition to an incinerator – Councillor C Joyce (Page 9)</p>	<p>RESOLVED: That Cabinet’s view was that Council had made its position very clear at its special meetings on 17 March and 14 April 2011 and no actions by the current Administration had done anything other than support and abide by that position.</p> <p>Reason for Decision To respond to Councillor Joyce’s Notice of Motion.</p>
<p>ii) Notice of Motion 3/11 – Appointment of Mayor – Councillor D J Collis (Page 11)</p>	<p>RESOLVED: That the current practice of any Group being entitled to nominate to the position of Mayor or Deputy, and all Councillors be given the opportunity to approve the nominations continue.</p> <p>Reason for Decision To respond to Councillor D J Collis’ Notice of Motion</p>
<p>iii) Local Development Framework – Site Specific Allocations and Policies Document (Page 13)</p>	<p>RESOLVED: That the Site Specific Allocations & Policies Development Plan Document be approved and be published for an 8 week public consultation. Final wordings and consequential changes are delegated to the Executive Director in consultation with the Portfolio holder and Vice Chair of the LDF Task Group.</p> <p>Reason for Decision The decision to consult on the document is required in accordance with Regulation 25 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended in 2008) entitled ‘Public participation in the preparation of a development plan document’. The extension of the consultation period from 6 to 8 weeks permits a longer period of time for parishes and statutory consultees.</p>

<p>iv) Review and Update of Contract Standing Orders (Page 19)</p>	<p>RECOMMENDED: That Council approve the new version of Contract Standing Orders.</p> <p>Reason for Decision To ensure that the Council's purchasing and disposal procedures continue to reflect best practice in order to obtain best value and to guard against corruption, discrimination and breaches of public procurement regulations.</p>
<p>v) Extension of Term of Office of Parish member on Standards Committee (Page 59)</p>	<p>RECOMMENDED: That the term of office for one of the three Parish Representatives on the Council's Standards Committee due to come to an end in mid November 2011, be extended pending the outcome of the Localism Bill.</p> <p>Reason for Decision To allow the Standards Committee to undertake its duty/role in an effective and efficient manner.</p>
<p>vi) Potential Compulsory Purchase Order – Northwold (Page 61)</p>	<p>RECOMMENDED: That the proposal to promote a Compulsory Purchase Order for the acquisition of the Land with a view to its subsequent disposal be approved.</p> <p>Reason for Decision The building is Grade II Listed and categorised as a building at risk because of its poor and potentially dangerous condition. It is unoccupied and in its current state is considered detrimental to the character, appearance and general wellbeing of the village.</p> <p>The Council considers that the compulsory purchase of the Land will facilitate the carrying out of restoration work which will secure the future preservation of the building, make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the Land and promote the social and environmental wellbeing of the surrounding area for the reasons explained in this report.</p>

The Meeting closed at 6.02 pm